## RAILWAY INSPECTOR'S SLANDER ACTION.

Doctor Sued at Kerry Assizes

## THE RESULT.

At the Kerry Spring Assizes on Paesday,

before Lord Justice Moloney,

Jeremiah O'Dwyer, Inspector, in the employment of the G. S and W. Railway at Limerick, appealed against the decision of the County Court Judge in dismissing an action for stander against Timothy Worlfe. M.D. Tarbeit. The slander was alleged to be contained in a notice publish J in the "Laborator" that the Inspector's conduct was not all that it should be

Serjeant Sullivan, K.C., and Mr. D. McC. Downing (instructed by Mr. P. J. O'Donnell soli.), appeared for the 'ppel ant Mr. E. J. McElligott, K.C. and Mr. B. Roche (instructed by Mr. T. Woulfe, soir.),

for the respondent.

The defendant, in his evidence, state I that the pairgraph which was published in the 'linerator' hist was afterwards get to every newspaper circulating between linerack and Tralee

Serjeant Sullivan-I believe that (the "Liberator") enjoys immunity. They were

safe in publishing it.
After hearing further evidence, his Lord-

ship deferred judgment.

On Wednesday morning, his Lordship in giving judgment, said the case was of some public importance. The plaint of was a man who had risen in the service of the Breat Southern and Western Radway Company from the position of porter to that of n-spector at Lumerick, and for 25 years he had Lorne an excellent character in the sec. co of the Company The defendant was a young Medical Officer in Tarbert in this county, who had perhaps an exaggerated view of his own mi ortance, and who perhaps did not ourider that there was a duty also east upon a professional gentleman of kindness and consiccration for those with whom he came in contact. He referred to the letters written by defendant to the railway authornes in I 'ch he accused plaintiff of being u. menners ene conduct insolent, impertinent and merel and expressed the hope that his sortherizes would deal with nim. He came to the conclus on that Dr. Woulfe was not just fed in the language he used against plaint of. The matter was fully investigated by the Super-intendent at Limerick, who came to the conclusion that Dwyer had not been lacking in his duty and that the case was a most exaggerated one. His Lordship fully agreed with that view. He had no difficulty in deciding that Dr. Woulfe's letter of complaint to the railway company was a libel on 11.c plaintiff, but after reviewing the evidence at great length he came to the conclusi a "with every desire possible to give relief to the plaintiff," that he would not be justified in holding that defendant was act mind by natice and consequently affirmed the dismiss. Replying to Serjeant Sullivan,

His Lordship said he held the publication of Dr. Woulfe's letter in the newspapers was no libel. He deferred the consideration of

the question of costs.